Frontiers in regional-scale seismology and the synergy between seismological and geodetic facilities and capacity building

• overview of leading edge research and future facility needs at regional scales using examples from Antarctica and Africa

• address future opportunities for Int’l collaboration and capacity building using AfricaArray as a model

Andy Nyblade
Penn State University
IRIS + UNAVCO = TRANSFORMATIVE SEISMOLOGY AND GEODESY AT REGIONAL SCALES
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Graduate students and Postdocs!
Glacial rebound & sea level

GPS: measure rebound

Seismology: measure Earth properties

GIA models: Improve ‘rebound’ correction for spaceborne measurements: Ice mass change

Sea level change predictions
POLENET/ANET & Partner Networks

- 52 sites
- 42 bedrock GPS
- 34 seismic
- 23 GPS+Seismic
  - 3 on ice
Structure of the West Antarctic Rift System and Marie Byrd Land

PRFs + ambient Noise
Chaput et al., 2014

SRFs; Ramirez et al., in prep

Heeszel et al. in prep

Lloyd et al., in prep

Crustal Thickness (km)

Heeszel et al. in prep

Lloyd et al., in prep

S-wave velocity anomaly (%)
Crustal displacements and mantle viscosity inferred from seismic velocity

Thin crust and weak mantle beneath West Antarctica results in:
1) relaxation of LGM-induced crustal motion
2) a strong elastic response to modern ice mass change
3) a likely viscoelastic response to centennial ice mass changes
Future opportunities for Int’l collaboration and capacity building

• Synergistic research leads to opportunities for joint geodetic-seismic capacity building activities
  • Autonomous Remote Stations workshop, ISAES, Goa, July 2015

• Int’l collaboration
  • UNAVCO + IRIS already leaders internationally in equipment design, testing, and deployment
  • Frontier area of research with strong international participation
AfricaArray

• Started in 2004
  - founding partners: Penn State, Univ. of the Witwatersrand (Wits), Council for Geoscience (aka Geol. Survey of South Africa (+ IRIS support))
  - Intervention to rebuild the geophysics program at Wits

• Key components to a multifaceted initiative:
  1) seismic, GPS, weather networks in Africa
  2) Undergraduate and graduate research and education programs (Africa and US)
  3) Diversity programs in Africa and US
  4) Project based funding + NSF I&F facility support
AfricaArray Observatory Network

- 51 stations
- 48 seismic stations
- 27 GPS/met stations
- 19 countries
- Continuous recording
- Data recovery 70-80%
- Data availability: IRIS and UNAVCO
- Data retrieval:
  - A few countries - real-time using cell modems
  - Elsewhere – monthly

O&M Model

- Highly leveraged
- In-country operator
- Network manager (NSF, PSU, Wits support)
- AA director
- Many stations part of national networks
AfricaArray and other temporary networks in East Africa
Large low-shear-velocity provinces, Ultralow-velocity zones and Superplumes?

Garnero and McNamara, 2008

Simmons et al, 2012

Ritsema et al, 2011
Regional tomography – origin of rifting and deep cratonic structure
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Hansen et al., 2012
A global/continental scale vs. regional scale tomography

Hansen et al., 2012

Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013
Using mantle transition zone discontinuities to investigate temperature anomalies

Lebedev et al., 2002

Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013
180-300 K anomaly across the transition zone

Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013
The African superplume (structure) is a whole-mantle feature and the origin of E. African Cenozoic tectonism is rooted in lower mantle dynamics.

- connection across the mid-mantle is broad but poorly understood

Lay, 2005
Yuen et al, 2007
GPS studies – understanding the plate boundary developing above the superplume

- GPS added to AfricaArray network starting in 2010
- Role of gravitational potential energy and viscous coupling between mantle and lithosphere

Saria et al., 2013
(from Sarah Stamps)
International Collaboration – The AfricaArray Model

- **Education and training is key (human capacity building)**
  - PhD, MS, BS, technician
    - Completed: 60 BSc honours, 18 MS, 11 PhD, 13 Postdocs
    - 96 underrepresented minority undergraduate students in US
- **Partnerships built from the bottom up – grass roots organization**
  - 19 Universities; 25 Gov’t organizations; 19 companies; 6 academic and industry societies; IRIS and UNAVCO
- **Sustained engagement by partners**
  - AfricaArray is 10 yrs old
- **Low tech**
  - research network with long latency in data return
Future International Collaboration

• International collaborations within UNAVCO and IRIS are extensive already and vital

• AfricaArray & Polenet possible because of strong core programs in IRIS and UNAVCO
  • data management, technical support, equipment, training

• Future opportunities for new AfricaArrays and Polenets?
  • Many, as long as core facility programs remain strong
Future Needs for Making the Next Big Advances in Our Science at Regional Scales

• A robust, state-of-the art portable instrument pool
  – initial capitalization of seismic equipment from NSF but subsequent major additions from outside of NSF (is this a sustainable model? i.e., someone else buys the equipment and NSF supports its O&M)

• Highly skilled technical staff that also provide training to students, postdocs, faculty
  – we tend to forget the core educational function that the facilities provide!
Future Needs for Making the Next Big Advances in Our Science at Regional Scales

• Increasing demand for improved resolution and rising field costs are challenges for the current way of doing our science. Expanding the frontier in our science requires:
  – cheaper, better, lighter, stronger, more easy to deploy, broader bandwidth, portable telemetered sensors!

• Generational advances in our field have been driven by technological advances
  – WWSSN, GSN, force-feedback seismometer, GPS
  – we (the community with facility engagement) have a need to identify and help develop the next breakthrough technologies
A Facility Plan for Polar Seismic and Geodetic Science: Meeting Community Needs Through IRIS and UNAVCO Polar Services

This plan has been coordinated by the Polar Networks Science Committee, a joint IRIS/UNAVCO committee, with input from a broad cross-section of the polar seismic and geodetic science community.

NOVEMBER 2012

The Facility Plan Writing Committee: Andrew Nyblade (Chair), Jason Amundson, Samantha Hansen, Erik Ivins, Matt Lazzara, Meredith Nettles, Carol Raymond, Leigh Stearns

Fig 3a: UNAVCO polar data holdings showing nearly 100,000 site days in the archive (Dec 2011).

Fig 3b: Cumulative SEED data archived at IRIS DMC (GB) from polar stations.
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