

# Revisiting your classroom's walls: The pedagogical power of posters

12/23/09 – Version 2.0

Michael Hubenthal - IRIS Consortium, Education and Outreach Program

Thomas O'Brien - University of Binghamton, School of Education

Scientific wall posters are commonplace in most middle and high school science classrooms. In fact, if you are in your classroom now, there are probably several of these colorful pieces within your view and numerous others stored away in closets and drawers. Commonly these pieces convey scientific content on a narrow set of related scientific topics through a mix of eye-catching text and figures. Some of yours probably came with the room when you moved in to the space or were ordered from standard science supply houses. Many others, especially those developed by federal or not-for-profit scientific organizations were probably picked-up free of charge from science teacher conferences, local teacher professional development workshops, or as an insert in one of a variety of practitioner journals. Despite where they originated, poster producers spend lots of money on their production and dissemination. While there is great demand for such posters by classroom teachers, too frequently their educational value is unrealized and many are simply forgotten “pretty pictures” on the wall. In fact, a case-study conducted to examine the use of posters produced by the science community ultimately concluded that aspects of their design, consistent across many such posters, prohibits them from playing a significant role in the instructional process of the classroom and the structure of the school day does not support opportunities for self-directed student learning to occur from posters (Hubenthal, 2009).

In addition to identifying shortcomings with design aspects of such posters and underpinning assumptions for their use, this research also documented strategies teachers employ to maximize the effectiveness of posters as currently available. This article presents five such strategies or “tips” and examines their ability to maximize the educational value of posters in light of what we know about the learning process and the complex relationship between the learning environment and students.

- Hang posters to peak students' curiosity and motivation to learn more about science content, inquiry and careers.
- Be selective about posters, especially with respect to the type and size of the imagery as well as the quantity of explanatory text.
- Rotate your posters – Between units to keep visuals aids relevant , emphasize inter-unit connections and promote student questions.
- Rotate your posters - Within a unit to motivate student attention and emphasize key content.
- Hang posters to provide “on-topic” targets for students' “wandering minds”

While each of these strategies might not be entirely novel to you as a practitioner, this article has been designed to connect what you may know intuitively to the research base so that you can select and use posters for maximum educational impact. Ultimately, such a connection may enable you to become more intentional in

your approach to posters in the classroom and thereby make them more than just forgotten wallpaper.

**Tip #1 - Influence your students' attitudes and behaviors by hanging posters.**

Take a look around your classroom. Is your classroom “ugly” or “beautiful”? Perhaps more importantly, do you believe the aesthetics of your classroom matters? Based on the presence of posters in your classroom, it is likely that you have intuitively sensed that there is a relationship between people and their environment. One teacher described this impact in the following way, *“It’s not like this is a great room or anything, but I think that those posters just add a little bit of something to the room.”*

Since the 1950’s, researchers have known that visually unattractive rooms produce feelings of discontent, fatigue and a desire to escape (Maslow & Mintz, 1956). More recently an ever-growing body of research concludes that soft aspects of a classroom, such as climate, color palette of walls and wall decorations, adjustable lighting systems, and seating have the ability to positively influence students’ emotions and have important effects on students’ attitudes and behaviors such as attendance, class participation, and rapport with the instructor (Graetz, 2006; Sommer & Olsen, 1980; Wong et al., 1992). Posters themselves have seldom been examined specifically in a classroom setting. However, laboratory research on the impact of posters on college students in the work place suggests the mere presence of a task-related poster for filing or computational tasks, and a scenic poster for creative tasks resulted in higher levels of reported confidence and increased perceptions of the pleasantness of the workspace (Stone et al; 1998a; 1998b).

By simply selecting and hanging aesthetically pleasing posters in your classroom you are increasing the visual interests of your classroom. In turn, this creates a pleasant environment likely to encourage more positive student attitudes and behaviors. While this effect could be achieved by hanging art prints, most science teachers opt instead for science posters. Such a strategy not only creates a visually stimulating space, it also creates a content-specific, or in this case “sciencey” environment for students,

*“I think when you walk in the classroom, you want to have something that identifies the classroom with the subject matter. There’s rocks and globes all over the place. I think there’s a certain atmosphere that would be lost by not having the posters.”*

This goes beyond the simple pleasantness of the space and contributes to the development of a classroom atmosphere. At a minimum this atmosphere can be useful to reframe students’ mindsets as they physically and mentally transition from their previous class to your science space. It says, “this is something different... this is science!” Perhaps more importantly this is an expression of the teacher and the field of science being taught. This can say, I care about science and science is important. Further, it says I care enough about my students and their learning to create an engaging and lively “learning lab”. Used in this way posters complement

rather than substitute for real, 3-D science materials, models and living plants and creatures that also contribute to the “aliveness” of the classroom.

**Tip #2 – Be selective about the posters you hang. Especially with respect to the type and size of the imagery as well as the quantity of explanatory text.**

While all posters are designed to be educative and the formal education community is the primary consumer of science posters, many are not well designed to support classroom use. Instead, most are designed to communicate content directly to a learner in isolation of other supports like a teacher. As a result, these posters are filled with significant quantities of explanatory text and, similar to a scientific publication, they use images not to stand alone, but to highlight points and illustrate arguments that are being made in the text (Dumit, 2004). In fact, one teacher I worked with likened the presence of explanatory text on a sample poster to the “*teacher edition of a textbook*” and concluded that “*it’s a wonderful thing for an adult and it fulfills almost a chapter of knowledge.*” When examining posters from a teachers’ perspective this abundance of text and supporting figures actually limits teachers’ ability to use the poster for instruction. Teachers I have worked with are generally less concerned with students’ inability to read the text on posters because the school day generally doesn’t accommodate extended time to read posters. Instead, what is of concern is that extensive text causes the primary figures to become too small to be seen even from a short distance away.

Teachers’ casual observations regarding the visual complexity caused by an abundance of text and small images aligns with our understanding of the way learners process and integrate knowledge from instructional materials. Learners have a cognitive architecture comprised of a finite working memory that processes incoming information, and a unlimited long-term memory used to make sense of and give meaning to the information (Baddeley, 1992; Bower, 1975). The working memory comprises two largely independent components that process visual and verbal information (Paivio, 1986). While text is eventually transferred to the verbal working memory, it must be initially processed by the visual working memory; causing competition with graphics for resources (Mayer et al., 2001). Since these two components function independently, instruction, in this case posters, have the potential of overwhelming the working memory by overwhelming either one of these components. Therefore, posters, like all visual representations, should be designed in a way that seeks to decrease the extraneous cognitive load and increase germane load when possible (Kalyuga et al., 2000; Paas & van Merriënboer, 1993).

Thus, when visiting a state or national science teacher conferences where luggage space for transporting posters back home is limited, skip those with small figures and opt for those that have large and iconic figures! Many teachers report looking for “*a gross image that cannot (be gotten) anywhere else, a simple idea.*” For example, cutaways, scaled representations or other perspectives that are not directly visible with the use of a “real” 3D object can be extremely useful. Additionally, imagery that inspires a sense of wonder is thought provoking, or even discrepant can greatly

enhance the instructional usefulness of the poster by overcoming their static nature and actively engaging students in the content.

Sounds easy, but posters with good classroom visibility and iconic imagery can be hard to come by. If you can find them, a good image will serve you well instructionally. By choosing posters with such imagery you are immediately becoming more intentional about posters and are greatly increasing the likelihood that they will be more than just background wallpaper during your instruction.

**Tip #3 – Rotate posters as you change units to keep visuals aids handy, emphasize connections across units and promote student questions.**

Keeping the majority of your posters in rotation with the content of the unit you are covering has a number of benefits for the classroom. First, it extends the principles covered in Tip #1 above by enlivening the room with visuals that are specific to the content currently under study. Second, the act of selecting a set of posters that supports the topics of the unit makes you more intentional about the use of posters for instruction. The act of hanging them simply keeps these posters “at the ready.” The most obvious approach for instructional use of posters is to communicate an instructional message with the imagery from the posters. Compared to having students read the posters, this approach is advantageous as it can increase the amount of relevant input for learners without increasing the cognitive load required to process the same information. This occurs because the input is divided across both the visual and verbal components of the finite working memory, e.g. communicating the information with the teachers voice as well as the imagery (Paivio, 2006; Mayer et al., 2001).

One limitation of using posters instructionally is that posters have confining edges. These edges limit the number of concepts that can “fit” on any poster and unavoidably results in the representation ideas as discrete chunks. A third benefit of rotating posters in alignment with your units is that it presents a unique opportunity to overcome these physical edges and showcase the linkages between content. This is especially so if one uses instructional strategies designed to emphasize relationships between content. For example, by hanging a set of posters that support a unit, your walls can become like an image-based concept map, useful to help students see how individual ideas form a larger whole. Similar to the way a road map shows various roads connecting various towns, Concept maps indicate a connection between concepts with a line linking two concepts together. Words placed on the line, linking words or linking phrases, specify the relationship between the two connected concepts (Novak & Canas, 2006). Approaches to using posters as Concept maps in the classroom are only limited by creativity. For example, at the beginning of a unit you could assess students’ prior knowledge by having students circulate around the classroom to examine the posters and then draw a Concept map. Or, at the end of the unit, understanding could be assessed by creating a classroom sized Concept map; physically connecting the posters together with string and attached paper to the string for linking words or phrases. GREAT IDEAS

Finally, rotating your posters can also encourage more spontaneous uses for them. In fact, the case-study of posters previously mentioned found that most teachers reported that their current use of posters is generally *“more random than it is planned”* and is frequently tied to student questions requiring an alternative explanation of a concept. Teachers commented, *“Having the posters up on the wall has sparked several questions within lessons and it’s, I think, giving them a deeper meaning for understanding what we’re talking about.”* Henson (1998), in his methods for secondary instruction suggests that one of the most effective uses of visual aids is where the “lesson is not pre-developed, but built up in front of the students who help develop the concepts.” Emphasizing the extent of this effect, one teacher even noted that even off-topic questions are a positive.

*“Often in the middle of a lecture some kid’s hand will go up and go ‘that picture with the green lighty things, what’s that?’ It does get us off topic, but instantly everybody is engaged again. Even when I get back onto what I was talking about, you’ve still got them.”*

In addition to encouraging student questions, keeping your posters aligned with the content you are covering also keeps visual aids conveniently on hand. That way, when a student asks a question or you want to link the current content you are covering to topics you have already discussed, you don’t have to hunt for imagery to support your ideas because the visuals are right there!

**Tip #4 – Rotate some posters within units to emphasize key content and engage students in the lesson.**

Imagine... as students enter their Earth Science classroom and begin to work on the warm-up, they notice that the posters hung with magnets on the left edge of the black board have changed again! While all the posters in the classroom still relate plate tectonics concepts, these specific ones now show a dripping faucet that appears to be making ripples radiating outward from a location in the western US. Another newly hung poster illustrates the interior of the earth and connects them to numerous seismograms beside it. I wonder what we will be covering today? How do ripples radiating outwards connect to our unit and what do they have to do with Earth’s interior.

The example above highlights how a teacher might take advantage of a large collection of posters and incorporate those posters instructionally. By creating a rapid rotation center, or an area of the classroom where one or two posters changes more frequently (perhaps weekly) than the broader unit rotation, you can quickly engage students in content by calling attention to upcoming topics and sparking questions. Pedagogically speaking, using posters in this way is similar to the introduction segment of a well-crafted lecture that motivates student attention and provides cues for what will be presented and emphasized during the instruction (Chiappetta & Koballa, 2002). At the end of each unit, the posters that appeared in the rapid rotation center could then also be leveraged for review. Because they changed as the instruction in the classroom changed, the visuals of the poster serve

as markers or mental reminders of topics covered during the unit. With little prompting from the teachers students should be able to use the posters to create a content flow surrounding these figures.

### **Tip #5 – Provide “on-topic” targets for students’ “wandering minds”**

There is significant competition for students’ limited cognitive resources in a school setting. The learner may actively select to participate in the discussion that you are leading, or they may choose to focus their resources elsewhere, writing a note to a friend, completing an unfinished homework assignment, or just casually observing their surroundings. Despite our best efforts at an “all on-task all the time” classroom, brains inevitably wander during instruction. In fact, research suggests, “30%-40% of daily-life thoughts are off-task” (McVay et al, 2009). Knowing and anticipating this phenomenon allows you to strategically prepare for your students wandering minds. Great posters attract and retain student attention. Visually stimulating posters that are aligned with the content of the unit can be an ideal method to providing students with germane targets for their mental escapes. *“The stuff up front is all pictures of nature and Earth science phenomena. I kind of put them up there because I see students, my screen is right in between them, and I see students sometimes wandering.”*

The intention of these posters is not to support “learning by passive diffusion.” Rather providing such resources for students mental escapes (or brain breaks) is aligned with research that suggests that natural scenes such as windows, video loops, and scenic posters serve a restorative function for workers and may provide stimulation for workers seeking information or cues (Collins, 1975; Kaplan 1983; Stone, 1998a). While workers report that such scenic views, especially windows are highly desirable in this way (Jackson & Holmes, 1973; Butler & Biner, 1989; 1990), teachers have expressed wanting something more specific for their students’ mental breaks. *“What would you want for them (students) to see besides what you’re teaching type of thing? So this is the purpose of that (set of posters). I want a kid to sit in the room when they’re not with me, they’re somewhere else, but focusing on science.”*

### **Summary**

Science posters have become a standard feature in classrooms across the United States. Demand from science teachers for new posters is high and science organizations are enthusiastic about creating new ones for educators. While many of the posters currently available lack a design that supports their use in the classroom, the tips presented here can, with a small amount of effort, allow you to maximize the impact of the posters you currently have and help you select future posters that are more likely to help your students learn.

## References

- Baddeley, A. D. (1992). Working memory. *Science*, 255, 556–559.
- Bower, G.H. (1975). Cognitive psychology: an introduction. In W.K. Estes (Ed.), *Handbook of learning and cognitive processes* (Vol. 1) *Introduction to concepts and issues* (pp. 25–80). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Butler, D. L. & Biner, P. M. (1989). Effects of setting on window preferences and factors associated with those preferences. *Environmental Behavior*, 23, pp. 334-358.
- Butler, D. L. & Biner, P. M. (1990). A preliminary study of skylight preferences. *Environment and Behavior*, 22, pp. 119-140.
- Collins, B. L. (1975). Windows and people: A literature survey. Psychological reaction to environments with and without windows. Washington, DC: National Bureau of Standards.
- Dumit, J. (2004). *Picturing Personhood: Brain scans and biomedical identity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Graetz, K. (2006). The Psychology of Learning Environments. In D. Oblinger (Ed.) *Learning Spaces*. (pp.60-74). Boulder, CO:EDUCAUSE.
- Henson, K. T. (1988). *Methods and strategies for teaching in secondary & middle schools*. New York: Longman
- Hubenthal, M. (2009) Wallpaper or instructional aids: A preliminary case study of science teachers' perceptions and use of wall-posters in the classroom. 2009 *Proceedings of the 2009 National Association of Research in Science Teaching Annual International Conference. Paper - S3.10.3*
- Jackson, G. J., & Holmes, J. G. (1973). Let's keep it simple: What we want from daylight. *Light and Lighting and Environmental Design*, 66(3), pp. 80-82.
- Kalyuga, S. Chandler, P. Sweller, J. (2000). Incorporating learner experience into the design of multimedia instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92(1), pp. 126-136.
- Kaplan, S. (1983) A model of personenvironment compatibility. *Environment and Behavior*, 15, pp. 311-332.
- Maslow, A. H., & Mintz, N. L. (1956) The effects of esthetic surroundings: I. *Journal of Psychology*, 41, pp. 247-254.
- Mayer, R.E. ,Heiser, J., Lonn, S. (2001). Cognitive constraints on multi-media learning: When presenting more material results in less under-standing. *Journal of*

*Educational Psychology*, 93, 187–198

McVay, J.C., Kane, M. J., & Kwapil, T.R. (2009). Tracking the train of thought from the laboratory into everyday life: An experience-sampling study of mind-wandering in controlled and ecological contexts. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16, 857-863.

Novak, J. D., & Cañas. A. J. (2006). "The Theory Underlying Concept Maps and How To Construct and Use Them", Institute for Human and Machine Cognition. Accessed 24 Nov 2008.

Paas, F., & van Merriënboer, J. (1994). Instructional control of cognitive load in the training of complex cognitive tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, 6, p. 351-71

Paivio, A (1986). *Mental representations: a dual coding approach*. Oxford. England: Oxford University Press.

Sommer, R., & Olsen, H. (1980) The soft classroom. *Environment and Behavior* 12(1) pp. 3-16.

Stone, N. J. (1998a) Task type, posters and workspace color on mood, satisfaction and performance. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 18, pp. 175-185.

Stone, N. J. (1998b) Windows and environmental cues on performance and mood. *Environment and Behavior*, 30(3), pp 306-322.

Wong, C. Y., Sommer, R., Cook, E. (1992) The soft classroom 17 years later. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 12(4) pp. 337-343.